



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: 700 LEAVITT PLACE, SUITE 1000, PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20591  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,418	04/03/2001	Yoshio Awakura	0694-135	2050
7590	05/24/2002			
Hopgood, Calimafde, Judlowe & Mondolino 60 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10165			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TUAN T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2827		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/825,418	AWAKURA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tuan T Dinh	2827

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2002.  
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

1-39  
4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-19,22-24 and 26-39 is/are pending in the application. *Ke 9/20/02*  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,20-21,25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,19,22-24,26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  
7)  Claim(s) 8-18,29,30 and 32-39 is/are objected to.  
8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  
12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  
14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  
15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 31 recites the limitation "said magnetic loss material..." in lines 1-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2, 6, 9, 22-24, 26, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katsumi (JP 401235662A).

As to claim 1, 19, and 31, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 2a-2d comprising:

an insulative base material (3-figure 2a);

conductor patterns (2-figure 2a) formed thereon; and

magnetic thin films (5-figure 2b) formed on said conductor pattern.

As to claim 2, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 2a-2d wherein said magnetic thin films are formed on said conductor patterns along outer surfaces of said conductor patterns (see figure 2b).

As to claim 6, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said magnetic thin films are produced by at least one of sputtering and vapor deposition.

As to claim 22, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said conductor part comprises signal line conductor patterns (2-figure 2a-silver solder layer).

As to claim 23, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said magnetic thin film is formed on said signal line conductor patterns (5-figure 2b).

As to claim 24, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said magnetic thin films are formed so as to be separated from signal line conductor patterns in portion where said signal line conductor patterns are not formed.

As to claim 26, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said magnetic thin film is fabricated by at least one method of sputtering and vapor deposition.

As to claim 28, Katsumi discloses a wiring board as shown in figures 1-5 wherein said wiring board is a multilayer printed wiring board comprising a structure of at least 3 layers.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4-5, 7, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsumi in view of Murada et al. (U. S. Patent 5,493,074).

As to claims 4-5, Katsumi does not disclose said insulative base material is configured of a flexible material, and said flexible material is a polyimide.

Murada shows an insulative base (1) disclosed in figures 2-3 comprising a flexible material (column 3, line 60) made by a polyimide (column 3, line 62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a flexible polyimide insulation base as taught by Murada to employ the wiring board of Katsumi in order to provide exhibiting insulating properties, flex life for the circuit board, and low cost for manufacture.

As to claims 7 and 27, Katsumi does not disclose thickness of said magnetic thin films is within range of 0.3  $\mu\text{m}$  to 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . Murada shows a thickness of metal foil (3-figure 2a) is 18  $\mu\text{m}$ .

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a thickness of metal foil as taught by Murada to provide the

thickness of the magnetic thin film of Katsumi in order to reduce an electrical resistivities.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-19, 22-24, and 26-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD  
May 20, 2002



KAMAND CUNE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER